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What is working in the current SECD response?
What is not adding value in the current SECD response?
What tools do we have available to deal with these diseases, both currently and in the near future?
What tools do we need for any of the options?
What other responses or programs can be looked at as models? With any of these models, what is similar or different with SECD?
What metrics do we need to define progress in any response? Are we capturing those metrics currently in our response? If not, what do we need to do to capture the appropriate metrics?
What priority would you give to an SECD response when compared to other disease programs/ responses (i.e., PRV, SB, influenza, CSF/ASF, trichinae, etc.)? Does this priority change depending on the option for SECD response?
What is the time burden for the current SECD response on your organization, and how does that fit in with your priorities?
Would you make a recommendation for next steps at this time, and if so, what would your recommendation be?
If you wouldn’t make a recommendation at this time, what information would you need to make a recommendation?
Range of options:

1. Remove Federal Order requirements and do not move forward with an SECD interim rule, and do nothing
2. No change – leave Federal Order requirements in place and move forward with an SECD interim rule
3. Develop voluntary biosecurity certification program
4. Call SECD endemic – industry focus on tools to reduce economic impact
5. Call SECD endemic – cooperative focus on eradication
   a. Voluntary, industry-led
   b. Regulatory, state-federal requirements